### LAW OFFICES OF

# McGINN & GIBB, PLLC

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 15 2005

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817 TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLERT
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDERT
JAMES E. HOWARDT
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHTT
TMEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

ANNAPOLIS, MD OFFICE FREDERICK W. GIBB, III MOHAMMAD S. RAHMANT PAMELA M. RILEYT DUANE N. MOORET

August 15, 2005

#### **VIA FACSIMILE**

To: Examiner Tung S. Lau

Group Art Unit: 2863

U.S.P.T.O.

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Enclosed Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116 and Applicant's Interview Summary

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/668,254

Docket No.: K06-159164M/TBS

Dear Examiner Lau:

Re:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.116 and Applicant's Interview Summary in response to the Final Office Action mailed on May 16, 2005, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,

James E. Howard

JEH/geb Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 70

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AUG 15 2005

In re Application of

Yasuji Norito

Serial No.:

10/668,254

Group Art Unit:

2863

Filed:

September 24, 2003 Examiner:

Tung S. Lau

For: HYSTERESIS CHARACTERISTIC SETTING DEVICE AND HYSTERESIS

CHARACTERISTIC SETTING METHOD

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Box AF Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116 AND APPLICANT'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 16, 2005, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-22 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, and 17 are amended to more clearly define the invention. Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, and 17 are independent.